

Diaquabromidocopper(II)–18-crown-6–water (1/1/2)

Bo Wang

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: wsp1314@126.com


Received 29 May 2010; accepted 17 June 2010

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.012$ Å; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.155; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.6.

In the title compound, $[\text{CuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_6 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, the Cu^{II} atom, which is situated on an inversion centre and has a slightly distorted square-planar geometry, and the two coordinated water molecules are linked to the 18-crown-6 macrocycles by $\text{O}-\text{H} \cdots \text{O}$ hydrogen bonds. The water molecule of crystallization further links the metal complex and the crown ether macrocycles into a chain along the c axis.

Related literature

For the ability of 18-crown-6 ether to form complexes with different metal ions, see: Jackson *et al.* (1981); Otter & Hartshorn (2004). For similar structures, see: Antsyshkina *et al.* (2004); Liu *et al.* (2007). For bond-length data, see: Allen *et al.* (1987).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{CuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot \text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_6 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 $M_r = 559.73$
 Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

$a = 7.4418$ (5) Å
 $b = 8.1724$ (6) Å
 $c = 10.1510$ (2) Å

$\alpha = 75.220$ (3)°
 $\beta = 69.47$ (1)°
 $\gamma = 78.51$ (1)°
 $V = 554.90$ (6) Å³
 $Z = 1$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 4.63$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 298$ K
 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.397$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.412$

5746 measured reflections
 2537 independent reflections
 2064 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.037$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.155$
 $S = 1.08$
 2537 reflections
 123 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.27$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.55$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-\text{H} \cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H} \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-\text{H} \cdots A$
$\text{O4W}-\text{H4WA} \cdots \text{O1}^1$	0.68 (7)	2.30 (8)	2.962 (6)	167 (9)
$\text{O4W}-\text{H4WB} \cdots \text{O3}^1$	0.93 (8)	1.95 (8)	2.869 (6)	170 (6)
$\text{O5W}-\text{H5WA} \cdots \text{O2}$	0.85	1.92	2.715 (5)	156
$\text{O5W}-\text{H5WB} \cdots \text{O4W}$	0.85	1.82	2.609 (6)	155

 Symmetry code: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *PRPKAPPA* (Ferguson, 1999).

The author is grateful to the starter fund of Southeast University for financial support to purchase the X-ray diffractometer.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JJ2036).

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, pp. S1–19.
- Antsyshkina, A. S., Sadikov, G. G., Koksharova, T. V. & Sergienko, V. S. (2004). *Zh. Neorg. Khim.* **49**, 1797–1800.
- Ferguson, G. (1999). *PRPKAPPA*. University of Guelph, Canada.
- Jackson, W. G., Sargeson, A. M., Tucker, P. A. & Watson, A. D. (1981). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **103**, 533–540.
- Liu, X., Guo, G.-C. & Sun, Y.-Y. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, m275–m277.
- Otter, C. A. & Hartshorn, R. M. (2004). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 150–156.
- Rigaku (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m836 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810023500]

Diaquabromidocopper(II)-18-crown-6-water (1/1/2)

B. Wang

Comment

The ability of 18-crown-6 ether (18-C-6) to form complexes with different metal ions has been widely investigated (Jackson *et al.*, 1981; Otter & Hartshorn, 2004). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of an intermediate in the 18-crown-6 ether-mediated solubilization of copper bromide salts, namely $[\text{CuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot (18\text{-crown-6}) \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, (I).

The crystal structure of (I) consists of $\text{CuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ complex, one molecule of 18-crown-6 ether and two water molecule in the crystallographic asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The structure is similar to that found in $[\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2] \cdot (18\text{-crown-6}) \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Antsyshkina *et al.*, 2004; Liu *et al.*, 2007), where the Cu atom is bonded to two Br and two H_2O molecules in a square planar coordination. The mean Cu—Br and Cu—O bond lengths are 2.3687 (5) Å and 1.911 (4) Å, respectively. Bond length and angles are in normal ranges (Allen *et al.*, 1987). All O atoms in the crown form O—H \cdots O_{crown} hydrogen bonds with adjacent coordinated (O5W) and uncoordinated (O4W) water molecules, with average O \cdots O distances of 2.609 Å. Thus, the hydrogen bonds link the crown ethers and Cu complex into a one-dimensional chain along the *c* axis (Fig. 2).

Experimental

CuBr_2 (44.6 mg, 0.2 mmol) and 18-crown-6 (53 mg, 0.2 mmol) were added to 10 ml of THF and 2 ml H_2O , and this reaction mixture was stirred at 333 K for 6 h. After filtration, the resulting filtrate was reduced to 5 ml in a small tube, which was loaded into a large vial containing 5 ml of diethyl ether. The large vial was sealed and left undisturbed at room temperature, and colorless crystals of (I) were obtained in 5 d.

Refinement

Water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding in their as-found relative positions, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$. Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C—H = 0.97 Å, and refined in riding mode, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound, with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level, and the H atoms attached to C have been omitted for clarity. Dashed lines indicate O—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds.




Fig. 2. One-dimensional chain of (I) showing the $O_{\text{water}}\text{---}H\cdots O_{\text{crown}}$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines). H atoms attached to C have been omitted.

Diaquadibromidocopper(II)–18-crown-6–water (1/1/2)

Crystal data

$[\text{CuBr}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\cdot\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_6\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 559.73$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: $-P\ 1$

$a = 7.4418\ (5)\ \text{\AA}$

$b = 8.1724\ (6)\ \text{\AA}$

$c = 10.1510\ (2)\ \text{\AA}$

$\alpha = 75.220\ (3)^\circ$

$\beta = 69.47\ (1)^\circ$

$\gamma = 78.51\ (1)^\circ$

$V = 554.90\ (6)\ \text{\AA}^3$

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 283$

$D_x = 1.675\ \text{Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{\AA}$

Cell parameters from 2622 reflections

$\theta = 3.0\text{--}27.5^\circ$

$\mu = 4.63\ \text{mm}^{-1}$

$T = 298\ \text{K}$

Prism, green

$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20\ \text{mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
graphite

Detector resolution: $13.6612\ \text{pixels mm}^{-1}$
 ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005)

$T_{\text{min}} = 0.397$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.412$

5746 measured reflections

2537 independent reflections

2064 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.037$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.0^\circ$

$h = -9 \rightarrow 9$

$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$

$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$

$wR(F^2) = 0.155$

$S = 1.08$

2537 reflections

123 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0755P)^2 + 0.8701P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.27\ \text{e \AA}^{-3}$

$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.55\ \text{e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	0.05334 (10)	0.20118 (6)	0.58028 (6)	0.0652 (3)
C1	0.7159 (11)	0.5878 (10)	0.2213 (9)	0.080 (2)
H1A	0.7893	0.5678	0.2875	0.096*
H1B	0.5881	0.6430	0.2652	0.096*
C2	0.6378 (11)	0.3049 (11)	0.3232 (7)	0.082 (2)
H2A	0.5237	0.3535	0.3903	0.099*
H2B	0.7387	0.2700	0.3681	0.099*
C3	0.5931 (11)	0.1552 (9)	0.2897 (7)	0.078 (2)
H3A	0.7043	0.1104	0.2180	0.094*
H3B	0.5624	0.0664	0.3755	0.094*
C4	0.3741 (11)	0.0667 (7)	0.2063 (8)	0.077 (2)
H4A	0.3196	-0.0119	0.2951	0.092*
H4B	0.4851	0.0053	0.1465	0.092*
C5	0.2298 (10)	0.1320 (9)	0.1320 (9)	0.079 (2)
H5A	0.1834	0.0378	0.1166	0.095*
H5B	0.1206	0.1968	0.1901	0.095*
C6	0.1858 (10)	0.3000 (10)	-0.0843 (10)	0.083 (2)
H6A	0.0709	0.3637	-0.0304	0.100*
H6B	0.1466	0.2052	-0.1045	0.100*
Cu1	0.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0335 (2)
O1	0.7004 (6)	0.4308 (6)	0.1941 (4)	0.0626 (10)
O2	0.4337 (5)	0.2051 (5)	0.2370 (4)	0.0559 (9)
O3	0.3148 (5)	0.2374 (5)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0613 (10)
O4W	0.3072 (6)	0.6708 (6)	0.0637 (4)	0.0566 (10)
O5W	0.1698 (7)	0.4741 (5)	0.3133 (4)	0.0900 (18)
H5WA	0.2229	0.3756	0.2979	0.108*
H5WB	0.1920	0.5610	0.2455	0.108*
H4WA	0.309 (11)	0.633 (10)	0.011 (8)	0.07 (3)*
H4WB	0.436 (11)	0.688 (9)	0.040 (8)	0.08 (2)*

supplementary materials

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0949 (5)	0.0358 (3)	0.0446 (3)	-0.0137 (3)	0.0039 (3)	-0.0051 (2)
C1	0.085 (5)	0.091 (5)	0.092 (5)	0.007 (4)	-0.054 (4)	-0.043 (4)
C2	0.088 (5)	0.112 (6)	0.044 (3)	0.010 (4)	-0.035 (3)	-0.007 (4)
C3	0.088 (5)	0.066 (4)	0.053 (4)	0.011 (4)	-0.016 (3)	0.011 (3)
C4	0.093 (5)	0.037 (3)	0.066 (4)	-0.015 (3)	0.016 (4)	-0.006 (3)
C5	0.066 (4)	0.059 (4)	0.095 (5)	-0.033 (3)	0.015 (4)	-0.025 (4)
C6	0.066 (4)	0.084 (5)	0.132 (7)	-0.008 (4)	-0.045 (5)	-0.057 (5)
Cu1	0.0319 (4)	0.0385 (4)	0.0250 (4)	-0.0049 (3)	-0.0047 (3)	-0.0033 (3)
O1	0.068 (2)	0.077 (3)	0.048 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.023 (2)	-0.022 (2)
O2	0.056 (2)	0.0428 (19)	0.047 (2)	0.0025 (16)	0.0013 (17)	-0.0033 (16)
O3	0.049 (2)	0.060 (2)	0.073 (3)	-0.0165 (18)	-0.0070 (19)	-0.021 (2)
O4W	0.054 (2)	0.074 (3)	0.034 (2)	-0.016 (2)	-0.0026 (17)	-0.0073 (19)
O5W	0.109 (4)	0.054 (2)	0.040 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.026 (2)	0.0074 (18)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Br1—Cu1	2.3687 (5)	C4—H4B	0.9700
C1—O1	1.414 (8)	C5—O3	1.413 (8)
C1—C6 ⁱ	1.488 (11)	C5—H5A	0.9700
C1—H1A	0.9700	C5—H5B	0.9700
C1—H1B	0.9700	C6—O3	1.429 (8)
C2—O1	1.438 (8)	C6—C1 ⁱ	1.488 (11)
C2—C3	1.476 (11)	C6—H6A	0.9700
C2—H2A	0.9700	C6—H6B	0.9700
C2—H2B	0.9700	Cu1—O5W ⁱⁱ	1.911 (4)
C3—O2	1.414 (8)	Cu1—O5W	1.911 (4)
C3—H3A	0.9700	Cu1—Br1 ⁱⁱ	2.3687 (5)
C3—H3B	0.9700	O4W—H4WA	0.68 (7)
C4—O2	1.432 (8)	O4W—H4WB	0.93 (8)
C4—C5	1.463 (11)	O5W—H5WA	0.8500
C4—H4A	0.9700	O5W—H5WB	0.8500
O1—C1—C6 ⁱ	109.7 (6)	O3—C5—H5A	109.9
O1—C1—H1A	109.7	C4—C5—H5A	109.9
C6 ⁱ —C1—H1A	109.7	O3—C5—H5B	109.9
O1—C1—H1B	109.7	C4—C5—H5B	109.9
C6 ⁱ —C1—H1B	109.7	H5A—C5—H5B	108.3
H1A—C1—H1B	108.2	O3—C6—C1 ⁱ	109.5 (5)
O1—C2—C3	110.2 (5)	O3—C6—H6A	109.8
O1—C2—H2A	109.6	C1 ⁱ —C6—H6A	109.8
C3—C2—H2A	109.6	O3—C6—H6B	109.8
O1—C2—H2B	109.6	C1 ⁱ —C6—H6B	109.8
C3—C2—H2B	109.6	H6A—C6—H6B	108.2

H2A—C2—H2B	108.1	O5W ⁱⁱ —Cu1—O5W	180.000 (1)
O2—C3—C2	108.9 (5)	O5W ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Br1 ⁱⁱ	89.03 (12)
O2—C3—H3A	109.9	O5W—Cu1—Br1 ⁱⁱ	90.97 (12)
C2—C3—H3A	109.9	O5W ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Br1	90.97 (12)
O2—C3—H3B	109.9	O5W—Cu1—Br1	89.03 (12)
C2—C3—H3B	109.9	Br1 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—Br1	180.0
H3A—C3—H3B	108.3	C1—O1—C2	112.9 (6)
O2—C4—C5	109.9 (5)	C3—O2—C4	113.2 (5)
O2—C4—H4A	109.7	C5—O3—C6	112.7 (5)
C5—C4—H4A	109.7	H4WA—O4W—H4WB	103 (8)
O2—C4—H4B	109.7	Cu1—O5W—H5WA	120.0
C5—C4—H4B	109.7	Cu1—O5W—H5WB	120.0
H4A—C4—H4B	108.2	H5WA—O5W—H5WB	120.0
O3—C5—C4	109.1 (5)		
O1—C2—C3—O2	-64.8 (7)	C2—C3—O2—C4	-177.8 (5)
O2—C4—C5—O3	63.1 (7)	C5—C4—O2—C3	-171.2 (5)
C6 ⁱ —C1—O1—C2	169.8 (6)	C4—C5—O3—C6	177.1 (5)
C3—C2—O1—C1	170.5 (6)	C1 ⁱ —C6—O3—C5	178.9 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $-x, -y+1, -z+1$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ($\text{\AA}, ^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O4W—H4WA \cdots O1 ⁱ	0.68 (7)	2.30 (8)	2.962 (6)	167 (9)
O4W—H4WB \cdots O3 ⁱ	0.93 (8)	1.95 (8)	2.869 (6)	170 (6)
O5W—H5WA \cdots O2	0.85	1.92	2.715 (5)	156
O5W—H5WB \cdots O4W	0.85	1.82	2.609 (6)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

